## MHIF FEATURED STUDY: Heart EXPAND CAP

## **OPEN AND ENROLLING:**

EPIC message to Research MHIF Patient Referral

# CONDITION: PI: RESEARCH CONTACTS: SPONSOR: Heart Failure/Transplant Karl Mudy, MD Kari Thomas - Kari.M.Thomas@allina.com | 612-863-7493 TransMedics, Inc.

**DESCRIPTION:** a single-arm study evaluating the OCS<sup>™</sup> Heart System and extended criteria donor hearts (those that are currently not transplanted or are seldom transplanted in the US)

#### **CRITERIA LIST/ QUALIFICATIONS:**

**Donor Heart Inclusion** 

• Expected total cross-clamp time of  $\geq$ 4 hours; **OR** expected total cross-clamp time of  $\geq$ 2 hours PLUS one of the following risk factors:

- Donor age 45-55 years, inclusive, with no coronary catheterization data
- Donor age ≥55 years
- Left ventricular septal or posterior wall thickness of >12 mm, but ≤16 mm
- Reported down time of ≥20 min, with stable hemodynamics at time of final assessment
- Left heart ejection fraction (EF) ≥40%, but ≤50% at time of acceptance of offer
- Donor angiogram with luminal irregularities with no significant CAD (≤50%)
- History of carbon monoxide poisoning with good cardiac function at time of donor assessment
- Social history of alcoholism with good cardiac function at time of donor assessment
- History of diabetes without significant CAD on angiogram (≤50%)

#### To date, MHIF has had four successful uses of the TransMedics Organ Care System (OCS™), aka "Heart in the Box"



## MHIF FEATURED STUDY: Heart DCD

### **PENDING APPROVAL:**

#### EPIC message to Research MHIF Patient Referral

CONDITION:	PI:	RESEARCH CONTACTS:	SPONSOR:
Heart Failure/Transplant	Karl Mudy, MD	Kari Thomas - Kari.M.Thomas@allina.com   612-863-7493	TransMedics, Inc.
		Kari Williams - <u>Kari.Williams@allina.com   612-863-0027</u>	

**DESCRIPTION:** To evaluate the effectiveness of the OCS Heart System to resuscitate, preserve and assess hearts donated after circulatory death for transplantation to increase the pool of donor hearts available for transplantation.

A prospective, randomized and concurrent controlled, non-inferiority pivotal trial in which subjects who receive a DCD donor heart transplant will be compared to subjects who receive a standard criteria donor heart transplant (SOC1 and SOC2 - from both randomized and concurrent control groups), adjusting for differences in risk factors.

#### **CRITERIA LIST/ QUALIFICATIONS:**

#### **Donor Heart Inclusion**

- Maastricht Category III DCD donor, defined as expected death after the withdrawal of lifesupportive therapy (WLST)
- Donor age 18-49 years old inclusive
- Warm ischemic time (WIT) ≤ 30 mins, with warm ischemic time defined as: Time from when
- mean systolic blood pressure (SBP) is < 50 mmHg or peripheral saturation < 70% to aortic crossclamp</li>
- and administration of cold cardioplegia in the donor.

#### To date, MHIF has had four successful uses of the TransMedics Organ Care System (OCS™), aka "Heart in the Box"





## **Disclosures**

- Shukrallah's original accent is not really southern
- Mudy's accent is real- he doesn't speak English much









Allina Health 虢 ABBOTT NORTHWESTERN HOSPITAL









Reason of removal	from	the lis	st
Removal reason	2015	2016	2017
Deceased donor transplant	2331	2734	2811
Patient died	395	324	290
Patient refused transplant	24	25	27
Improved, transplant not needed	161	187	176
Too sick for transplant	297	261	290
Other	246	251	273

#### **OPTN/SRTR 2017 Annual Data Report: Heart**









#### Successful Utilization of Extended Criteria Donor Hearts for Transplantation – Results of The OCS Heart EXPAND Trial

• J. N. Schroder, D. D'Alessandro, F. Esmailian, T. Boeve, P. Tang, K. Liao, I. Wang, A. Anyanwu, A. Shah, K. Mudy, E. Soltesz, J. W. Smith

 Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, Cedars-Sinai
 Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, Spectrum Health, Grand Rapids, MI, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, Indiana University, Indianapolis, IN, Mount Sinai, New York, NY, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, Minneapolis Heart Institute, Minneapolis, MN, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, OH, University of Washington, Seattle, WA,

JHLT, April, 2019; Volume 38, Issue 4

Allina Health 🖮 ABBOTT NORTHWESTERN HOSPITAL





## OCS Heart EXPAND Trial Design & Endpoints

- Single Arm Study:
  - These hearts are not routinely being utilized and could not be compared to standard criteria hearts
- Donor Criteria Targeted donor hearts that may benefit from perfusion
  - Extended ischemia time  $\geq$ 4 hours; or
  - Older donors >45 yo; or
  - Down time ≥20 mins; or
  - LVH hearts >12 ≤16 mm thickness; or
  - Non specific CAD
- Effectiveness Endpoints:
  - Primary: Composite of patient survival at day 30 and freedom from severe PGD in the first 24 hours
  - Secondary: Donor hearts utilization rate
- Safety Endpoint:
  - Rate of moderate and severe PGD up-to day 30 post transplant



























































# Donor Characteristics 45 yr Female 162 cm, 54.4 kg Cause of brain death: Cerebrovascular hemorrhage Inclusion criteria: Anticipated cross clamp time ≥4 hrs plus Additional risk factors: Hypertension











## **Recipient Characteristics**

• 67 yr Female

MHIF/04September2019/Transplant

- 157 cm, 76.2 kg
- Diagnosis: Ischemic cardiomyopathy















#### **TA11** Time to correct font size

Trevor Arneberg, 5/1/2019







Continued Access Protocol to collect additional evidence to evaluate the Safety and Effectiveness of The Portable Organ Care System (OCS™) Heart for preserving, resuscitating and assessing Expanded Criteria Donor Hearts for Transplantation (Heart EXPAND CAP)

- Objectives: To provide additional data evaluating the safety and effectiveness of the OCS Heart System to
  preserve and assess donor hearts that do not meet current standard donor heart acceptance criteria for
  transplantation to potentially improve donor heart utilization for transplantation at a range of transplant
  centers in the U.S. and to permit patients and physicians access to the OCS Heart System while a PMA
  application is under preparation and review
- · Trial Design: A prospective single-arm trial
- Trial Size: A maximum of 12 participating sites with 48 transplanted heart recipients

Allina Health 👾 ABBOTT NORTHWESTERN HOSPITAL





Minneapolis Heart Institute

## **OCS™ Heart EXPAND Clinical Trial**

#### Donor eligibility, at least one of the following:

- Expected total cross-clamp time ≥4 hours
- Expected cross-clamp time ≥2 hours PLUS at least one of following risk factors:
  - Donor age >55 yo.; or
  - Donor age 45 55 yo. without coronary catheterization data; or
  - LV septal or posterior wall thickness >12 ≤16mm; or
  - Reported down time ≥20 min; or
  - Left heart Ejection Fraction ≥ 40% ≤50%; or
  - · Donor angiogram with luminal irregularities no significant CAD; or
  - · History of carbon monoxide poisoning; or
  - Social history of alcoholism; or
  - · History of diabetes with negative coronary angiogram for CAD





## Heart EXPAND Clinical Trial Endpoints

**Primary Endpoint:** A composite endpoint of patient survival at Day-30 post-transplant and absence of severe primary heart graft dysfunction (PGD) (left or right ventricle) in the first 24 hours post-transplantation

#### Secondary Endpoints:

- Patient survival at day-30 post-transplantation
- Incidence of severe primary heart graft dysfunction (PGD) (left or right ventricle) in the first 24 hours post-transplantation
- Rate of donor hearts utilization that were successfully transplanted after preservation and assessment on the OCS<sup>™</sup> Heart device

**Safety Endpoint:** Incidence of heart graft related Serious Adverse Events (SAEs) in the first 30 days post heart transplantation, defined as:

- Moderate or Severe primary heart graft dysfunction (PGD) (left or right ventricle), not including rejection or cardiac tamponade, according to 2014 ISHLT consensus manuscript
- Primary graft failure requiring re-transplantation

Allina Health 🖮 ABBOTT NORTHWESTERN HOSPITAL









Allina Health 🖮 ABBOTT NORTHWESTERN HOSPITAL





Minneapolis Heart Institute

**METHODS:** DCD hearts were retrieved using normothermic regional perfusion (NRP) or direct procurement and perfusion (DPP). During NRP, perfusion was restored to the arrested heart within the donor with the exclusion of the cerebral circulation, whereas DPP hearts were removed directly. All hearts were maintained on machine perfusion during transportation. A retrospective cohort of DBD heart transplants, matched for donor and recipient characteristics, was used as a comparison group. The primary outcome measure of this study (set by the United Kingdom regulatory body) was 90-day survival.





## Heart Transplantation from DCD heart donation provides comparable short-term outcomes to traditional DBD heart transplants (28 hearts)



Allina Health 🕷 ABBOTT NORTHWESTERN HOSPITAL





Minneapolis Heart Institute

Heart Transplantation from DCD heart donation provides comparable short-term outcomes to traditional DBD heart transplants (28 hearts)

## **DPP vs NRP**

Messer, S. Page, A. Axell, R. et. al. Outcome After Heart Transplant from Donation After Circulatory-Determined Death Donors. J Heart and Lung Transplantation. 2017;36(12):1311-1318.





	DCD vs DBD			DCD procuremen	nt method	
Variable <sup>a</sup>	DCD ( $n = 26$ )	DBD (n = 26)	p-value <sup>b</sup>	NRP ( $n = 12$ )	DPP ( <i>n</i> = 14)	p-value
Survival						
30 days	26 (100)	26 (100)	1.00	12 (100)	14 (100)	1.00
90 days	24 (92)	25 (96)	1.00	12 (100)	12 (86)	0.48
Cardiac performance						
Cardiac index, liters/min/m <sup>2</sup>	2.5 (2.1-2.7)	2.0 (1.8-2.4)	0.04	2.5 (2.4-2.7)	2.5 (1.7-2.8)	0.62
Cardiac output, liters/min	4.9 (4.0-5.2)	3.9 (3.2-4.4)	0.006	5.0 (4.3-5.1)	4.6 (3.4-5.5)	0.60
MAP, mm Hg	71 (64-78)	66 (60-70)	0.08	69 (64-78)	70 (69-78)	0.79
CVP, mm Hg	10 (8-11)	11 (9-12)	0.10	10 (8-11)	9 (8-11)	0.57
PAP diastolic, mm Hg	14 (12-17)	15 (12-19)	0.65	13 (12-17)	16 (13-18)	0.43
Mechanical support	7 (07)	( (45)		0 (17)	5 (20)	
ECMO	7 (27)	4 (15)	0.51	2 (1/)	5 (30)	1.00
VAD	3 (12)	1 (4)	1.00	1 (0)	2 (14)	1.00
Pharmacologic Support	- (4)	0 (0)	1.00	0 (0)	- (7)	1.00
Donamine, ug/kg/min	4.8	5.0	0.04	5.1	4.8	0.15
Adrenaline, ug/kg/min	0.04	0.04	0.65	0.04	0.03	0.73
Norepinephrine, ug/kg/min	0.01	0.03	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.43
Post-transplant outcomes						
Ventilation duration, days	0.9 (0.5-3.3)	1.8 (0.7-2.5)	0.84	0.6 (0.4-1.1)	2.5 (0.5-3.6)	0.06
Length of stay, days		. ,				
Intensive care unit	5 (3-8)	7 (4-9)	0.49	5 (4-5)	6 (3-10)	0.67
Hospital	20 (17-28)	27 (21-34)	0.09	19 (17-27)	20 (19-27)	0.58
Hemofiltration	8 (31)	7 (27)	0.51	2 (17)	5 (36)	0.39
Ejection fraction, %	63 (58-63)	63 (62-63)	1.00	62 (58-65)	62 (60-63)	1.00
Treated rejection	9 (35)	15 (58)	0.15	4 (33)	5 (36)	1.00

DPP
(n = 14) p-value
14 (100) 1.00
12 (86) 0.48
()
2.5 (1.7-2.8) 0.62
4.6 (3.4-5.5) 0.60
70 (69-78) 0.79
9 (8-11) 0.57
16 (13-18) 0.43
5 (36) 0.39
2 (14) 1.00
1 (7) 1.00
4.8 0.15
0.03 0.73
0.00 0.43
ee ne e no mer
2.5 (0.5-3.6) 0.06
6 (3-10) 0.67
20 (19-27) 0.58
5 (36) 0.39
62 (60-63) 1.00

	000 45 000			beb procuremen	ic method	
Variable <sup>a</sup>	DCD ( $n = 26$ )	(n = 26)	p-value <sup>b</sup>	(n = 12)	DPP (n = 14)	p-value <sup>b</sup>
Survival						
30 days	26 (100)	26 (100)	1.00	12 (100)	14 (100)	1.00
90 days	24 (92)	25 (96)	1.00	12 (100)	12 (86)	0.48
Cardiac performance						
Cardiac index, liters/min/m <sup>2</sup>	2.5 (2.1-2.7)	2.0 (1.8-2.4)	0.04	2.5 (2.4-2.7)	2.5 (1.7-2.8)	0.62
Cardiac output, liters/min	4.9 (4.0-5.2)	3.9 (3.2-4.4)	0.006	5.0 (4.3-5.1)	4.6 (3.4-5.5)	0.60
MAP, mm Hg	71 (64-78)	66 (60-70)	0.08	69 (64-78)	70 (69-78)	0.79
CVP, mm Hg	10 (8-11)	11 (9-12)	0.10	10 (8-11)	9 (8-11)	0.57
PAP diastolic, mm Hg	14 (12-17)	15 (12-19)	0.65	13 (12-17)	16 (13-18)	0.43
Mechanical support						
IABP	7 (27)	4 (15)	0.51	2 (17)	5 (36)	0.39
ECMO	3 (12)	1 (4)	0.63	1 (8)	2 (14)	1.00
VAD	1 (4)	0 (0)	1.00	0 (0)	1 (7)	1.00
Pharmacologic Support						
Dopamine, µg/kg/min	4.8	5.0	0.04	5.1	4.8	0.15
Adrenaline, µg/kg/min	0.04	0.04	0.65	0.04	0.03	0.73
Norepinephrine, µg/kg/min	0.01	0.03	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.43
Post-transplant outcomes						
Ventilation duration, days	0.9 (0.5-3.3)	1.8 (0.7-2.5)	0.84	0.6 (0.4-1.1)	2.5 (0.5-3.6)	0.06
Length of stay, days						
Intensive care unit	5 (3-8)	7 (4-9)	0.49	5 (4-5)	6 (3-10)	0.67
Hospital	20 (17-28)	27 (21-34)	0.09	19 (17-27)	20 (19-27)	0.58
Hemofiltration	8 (31)	7 (27)	0.51	2 (17)	5 (36)	0.39
Ejection fraction, <sup>c</sup> %	63 (58-63)	63 (62-63)	1.00	62 (58-65)	62 (60-63)	1.00
Treated rejection	9 (35)	15 (58)	0.15	4 (33)	5 (36)	1.00

	DCD vs DBD			DCD procuremen	t method	
Variable <sup>a</sup>	DCD (n = 26)	DBD (n = 26)	p-value <sup>b</sup>	NRP ( $n = 12$ )	DPP ( <i>n</i> = 14)	<i>p</i> -value <sup>b</sup>
Survival						
30 days	26 (100)	26 (100)	1.00	12 (100)	14 (100)	1.00
90 days	24 (92)	25 (96)	1.00	12 (100)	12 (86)	0.48
Cardiac performance	( )	. ,		. ,	. ,	
Cardiac index, liters/min/m <sup>2</sup>	2.5 (2.1-2.7)	2.0(1.8-2.4)	0.04	2.5 (2.4-2.7)	2.5 (1.7-2.8)	0.62
Cardiac output, liters/min	4.9 (4.0-5.2)	3.9 (3.2-4.4)	0.006	5.0 (4.3-5.1)	4.6 (3.4-5.5)	0.60
MAP, mm Hg	71 (64-78)	66 (60-70)	0.08	69 (64-78)	70 (69-78)	0.79
CVP, mm Hg	10 (8-11)	11 (9-12)	0.10	10 (8-11)	9 (8-11)	0.57
PAP diastolic, mm Hg	14 (12-17)	15 (12-19)	0.65	13 (12-17)	16 (13-18)	0.43
Mechanical support	. ,	. ,		. ,	. ,	
IABP	7 (27)	4 (15)	0.51	2 (17)	5 (36)	0.39
ECMO	3 (12)	1 (4)	0.63	1 (8)	2 (14)	1.00
VAD	1 (4)	0 (0)	1.00	0 (0)	1 (7)	1.00
Pharmacologic Support						
Dopamine, µg/kg/min	4.8	5.0	0.04	5.1	4.8	0.15
Adrenaline, µg/kg/min	0.04	0.04	0.65	0.04	0.03	0.73
Norepinephrine, µg/kg/min	0.01	0.03	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.43
Post-transplant outcomes						
Ventilation duration, days	0.9 (0.5-3.3)	1.8 (0.7-2.5)	0.84	0.6 (0.4-1.1)	2.5 (0.5-3.6)	0.06
Length of stay, days						
Intensive care unit	5 (3-8)	7 (4-9)	0.49	5 (4-5)	6 (3-10)	0.67
Hospital	20 (17-28)	27 (21-34)	0.09	19 (17-27)	20 (19-27)	0.58
Hemofiltration	8 (31)	7 (27)	0.51	2 (17)	5 (36)	0.39
Ejection fraction, %	63 (58-63)	63 (62-63)	1.00	62 (58-65)	62 (60-63)	1.00
Treated rejection	9 (35)	15 (58)	0.15	4 (33)	5 (36)	1.00

				DCD procuremen	it metriod	
Variable <sup>a</sup>	DCD ( $n = 26$ )	DBD (n = 26)	p-value <sup>b</sup>	NRP ( $n = 12$ )	DPP (n = 14)	p-value <sup>b</sup>
Survival						
30 days	26 (100)	26 (100)	1.00	12 (100)	14 (100)	1.00
90 days	24 (92)	25 (96)	1.00	12 (100)	12 (86)	0.48
Cardiac performance						
Cardiac index, liters/min/m <sup>2</sup>	2.5 (2.1-2.7)	2.0 (1.8-2.4)	0.04	2.5 (2.4-2.7)	2.5 (1.7-2.8)	0.62
Cardiac output, liters/min	4.9 (4.0-5.2)	3.9 (3.2-4.4)	0.006	5.0 (4.3-5.1)	4.6 (3.4-5.5)	0.60
MAP, mm Hg	71 (64-78)	66 (60-70)	0.08	69 (64-78)	70 (69-78)	0.79
CVP, mm Hg	10 (8-11)	11 (9-12)	0.10	10 (8-11)	9 (8-11)	0.57
PAP diastolic, mm Hg	14 (12-17)	15 (12-19)	0.65	13 (12-17)	16 (13-18)	0.43
Mechanical support						
IABP	7 (27)	4 (15)	0.51	2 (17)	5 (36)	0.39
ECMO	3 (12)	1 (4)	0.63	1 (8)	2 (14)	1.00
VAD	1 (4)	0 (0)	1.00	0 (0)	1 (7)	1.00
Pharmacologic Support						
Dopamine, µg/kg/min	4.8	5.0	0.04	5.1	4.8	0.15
Adrenaline, µg/kg/min	0.04	0.04	0.65	0.04	0.03	0.73
Norepinephrine, µa/ka/min	0.01	0.03	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.43
Post-transplant outcomes						100 Aug 10
Ventilation duration, days	0.9 (0.5-3.3)	1.8 (0.7-2.5)	0.84	0.6 (0.4-1.1)	2.5 (0.5-3.6)	0.06
Length of stay, days						
Intensive care unit	5 (3-8)	7 (4-9)	0.49	5 (4-5)	6 (3-10)	0.67
Hospital	20 (17-28)	27 (21-34)	0.09	19 (17-27)	20 (19-27)	0.58
Hemofiltration	8 (31)	7 (27)	0.51	2 (17)	5 (36)	0.39
Ejection fraction, <sup>c</sup> %	63 (58-63)	63 (62-63)	1.00	62 (58-65)	62 (60-63)	1.00
Treated rejection	9 (35)	15 (58)	0.15	4 (33)	5 (36)	1.00

	DCD vs DBD			DCD procurement method		
Variable <sup>a</sup>	DCD ( <i>n</i> = 26)	DBD (n = 26)	p-value <sup>b</sup>	NRP ( $n = 12$ )	DPP (n = 14)	p-value <sup>b</sup>
Survival						
30 days	26 (100)	26 (100)	1.00	12 (100)	14 (100)	1.00
90 days	24 (92)	25 (96)	1.00	12 (100)	12 (86)	0.48
Cardiac performance		(/		(/	(/	
Cardiac index. liters/min/m <sup>2</sup>	2.5 (2.1-2.7)	2.0(1.8-2.4)	0.04	2.5(2.4-2.7)	2.5 (1.7-2.8)	0.62
Cardiac output, liters/min	4.9 (4.0-5.2)	3.9 (3.2-4.4)	0.006	5.0 (4.3-5.1)	4.6 (3.4-5.5)	0.60
MAP, mm Hg	71 (64-78)	66 (60-70)	0.08	69 (64-78)	70 (69-78)	0.79
CVP, mm Ha	10 (8-11)	11 (9-12)	0.10	10 (8-11)	9 (8-11)	0.57
PAP diastolic, mm Hg	14 (12-17)	15 (12-19)	0.65	13 (12-17)	16 (13-18)	0.43
Mechanical support	. ,	. ,			. ,	
IABP	7 (27)	4 (15)	0.51	2 (17)	5 (36)	0.39
ECMO	3 (12)	1 (4)	0.63	1 (8)	2 (14)	1.00
VAD	1 (4)	0 (0)	1.00	0 (0)	1 (7)	1.00
Pharmacologic Support						
Dopamine, µg/kg/min	4.8	5.0	0.04	5.1	4.8	0.15
Adrenaline, µg/kg/min	0.04	0.04	0.65	0.04	0.03	0.73
Norepinephrine, µg/kg/min	0.01	0.03	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.43
Post-transplant outcomes						
Ventilation duration, days	0.9 (0.5-3.3)	1.8 (0.7-2.5)	0.84	0.6 (0.4-1.1)	2.5 (0.5-3.6)	0.06
Length of stay, days						
Intensive care unit	5 (3-8)	7 (4-9)	0.49	5 (4-5)	6 (3-10)	0.67
Hospital	20 (17-28)	27 (21-34)	0.09	19 (17-27)	20 (19-27)	0.58
Hemofiltration	8 (31)	7 (27)	0.51	2 (17)	5 (36)	0.39
Ejection fraction, %	63 (58-63)	63 (62-63)	1.00	62 (58-65)	62 (60-63)	1.00
Treated rejection	9 (35)	15 (58)	0.15	4 (33)	5 (36)	1.00

35 of 41

# Heart Transplantation from DCD heart donation provides comparable short-term outcomes to traditional DBD heart transplants (28 hearts)







Minneapolis Heart Institute

## **U.S. DCD Trial**

#### Study design

A prospective, randomized and concurrent controlled, noninferiority pivotal trial in which subjects who receive a DCD donor heart transplant will be compared to subjects who receive a standard criteria donor heart transplant (SOC1 and SOC2 - from both randomized and concurrent control groups), adjusting for differences in risk factors.







#### **Trial Size and Subject Follow-up**

A maximum of **15** participating sites with a minimum of **53** transplanted DCD heart recipients and at least **159** standard of care heart transplant recipients. Follow-up data for the SOC recipients will be obtained from UNOS/OPTN standard database for transplant recipients.





#### **Donor Inclusion Criteria**

- Maastricht Category III DCD donor, defined as expected death after the withdrawal of life- supportive therapy (WLST)
- Donor age 18-49 years old inclusive
- Warm ischemic time (WIT) ≤ 30 mins, with warm ischemic time defined as: Time from when mean systolic blood pressure (SBP) is < 50 mmHg or peripheral saturation < 70% to aortic cross-clamp and administration of cold cardioplegia in the donor.

Allina Health 🖮 ABBOTT NORTHWESTERN HOSPITAL





Minneapolis Heart Institute

## **U.S. DCD Trial**

#### **Primary Endpoint**

A non-inferiority comparison of patient survival at 6 months post-transplant between recipients of DCD donor hearts preserved on the OCS Heart System (*DCD Heart Transplanted Recipient Population*) and recipients of standard criteria donor hearts preserved using cold storage (*SOC1* + *SOC2, SOC Heart Transplanted Recipient Population*), adjusting for risk factors.





## **U.S. DCD Trial**

#### **Secondary Endpoint**

Utilization Rate is defined as the number of eligible DCD donor hearts that met the warm ischemic time limit and were instrumented on the OCS Heart System that meet the acceptance criteria for transplantation after OCS Heart preservation divided by the total number of eligible DCD donor hearts that met the warm ischemic time limit above and were instrumented on the OCS Heart System.

Allina Health 🖮 ABBOTT NORTHWESTERN <u>HOSPITAL</u>





Minneapolis Heart Institute

## Conclusions

- Organ shortage still a limiting factor
- Deaths on waitlists despite changes in allocation strategies
- Distance- major obstacle to allocate all organs
- Ex-vivo perfusion- viable option to mitigate it
- Future- DCD!!!
- Future- OPOs and centers working together





## **Special Thanks**

- OPOs
- Transplant Coordinators
- Perfusionists
- Research Coordinators
- The whole Advanced Heart Failure Team

Allina Health 🖮 ABBOTT NORTHWESTERN HOSPITAL





Minneapolis Heart Institute

## **Very Special Thanks**

# Dr. Bassam Shukrallah

Allina Health 虢 ABBOTT NORTHWESTERN HOSPITAL









Allina Health 虢 ABBOTT NORTHWESTERN HOSPITAL



