MHIF Cardiovascular Grand Rounds | December 5, 2022





DISCLOSURES

- Abbott Diagnostics: advisory board
- · Roche Diagnostics: advisory board, speaker
- Patent #20210401347 (machine learning models for ECG-based troponin level detection)

AllinaHealth * MINNEAPOLIS HEART INSTITUTE







Allina Health * MINNEAPOLIS HEART INSTITUTE

- Roberta Wagner









Key Message: n	ot all c	Tn assa	ays are	created	d equal	- KNOW YOUR	ASSAY
Manufacturer Assay type	Analytic	al sensitivity	Imprecis	sion (%CV)	Lowest r	eportable value (LoQ)	99 th percentile
Hs-assays	LoD, ng/L	20% CV (LoQ), ng/L	99 th URL, ng/L	%CV at 99 th URL	% >LOD	Epitopes/antibodies	Detection tag
Abbott ARCHITECT hs-cTnl	1.7	2.3	17/35	5.0/4.1	85%	C: 24-40; D: 41-49	Acridinium
Beckman Coulter Access hs-cTnl (Li heparin plasma)	1-2	0.9-2.3	11.6/19.8	4.2/3.6	>50%	C: 41-49; D: 24-40	ALP
Roche TnT Gen 5 STAT	3; 5 for e411	6	14/22	<10	55.1%	C: 125-131; D: 136-147	Ruthenium
Siemens ATELLICA	1.6	2.5	38.6/53.5	<4	75%	C:41-50, 171-190, D:29-34	Luminescence
AllinaHealth爺 MINNEAPOLIS HE.	ART INSTIT	UTE	Allina Health ABBOTT NORTHWESTE HOSPITAL	RN	Minn Hear Foun	eapolis t Institute dation	adersandoval















Г

onin I (Î) d, Normal, Q3H, First d	ymes ccurrence today at 1700, Last occurrence toda	day at 2300, For 3 occurrences, Blood	
Standardi	Future hs-cTnT zed 0h/2h sampling p	e (early 2023) approach using hs-cTnT testin Γ q2h= 0h +/- 2h (2h protocol , 4h sample if nee protocol across the healthcare system with esta	ng: eded) blished guideline/algorithm
OPONIN T AC Priority:	UTE W/2HR REFLEX	STAT ASAP Today Timed Preop Early AM	
OPONIN T AC Priority: Frequency:	UTE W/2HR REFLEX Today ONE TIME	STAT ASAP Today Timed Preop Early AM Image: Star in the star in th	✓ Accept X Cauch
OPONIN T AC Priority: Frequency:	UTE W/2HR REFLEX Today ONE TIME At	STAT ASAP Today Timed Preop Early AM O one time Tomorrow AM q8h q6h q4h q2h	✓ Accept X Cance
OPONIN T AC	UTE W/2HR REFLEX Today	STAT ASAP Today Timed Preop Early AM	





High-STEACS: stepped-wedge, cluster RCT of 48,282 patients across 10 hospitals in Scotland. Does the introduction of a hs-cTnI assay with a sex-specific 99th percentile reduces subsequent MI of CV death in patients with suspected ACS?

	Valid	Validation phase		Implementation phase Odds ratio			
	n	%	n	%	95% CI		
Primary outcome							
Myocardial infarction or cardiovascular death	105	14.6	131	12.5	1.10 (0.75–1.61)	⊢ ⊨	-
secondary outcome							
Ayocardial infarction	56	7.8	62	5.9	1.33 (0.81–2.20)	F † ∎	
Jnplanned revascularisation	18	2.5	25	2.4	1.77 (0.72–4.36)	⊢ ⊢	
All-cause death	167	23.2	187	17.8	0.71 (0.46–1.10)	⊢∎∔	
Death from cardiovascular causes	54	7·5	75	7.1	0.86 (0.51-1.45)	⊢∎⊢	4
Death from cardiac causes	32	4.4	59	5.6	1.13 (0.61–2.09)	⊢ ⊢	
Hospital admission with heart failure	91	12.6	113	10.8	1.34 (0.84–2.16)	i+1	
schaemic stroke	24	3.3	17	1.6	0.85 (0.33-2.18)	⊢	
						0.25 1.0	2,0 5,0
Lise of a high-sensitivity ass		e not see	nciated	with a lo	wer subsequent	4 10	>
incidence of myocardial i	nfarct	ion or ca	rdiovae		ath at 1 year	Implementation	Validation
	marci		luiuvas		all at 1-year.	better	better
Shah ASV et al. High-sensitivity troponin in the evaluat	ion of pati	ents with suspe	cted acute co	ronary syndrom	e: a stepped-wedge, cluster-randomise	ed controlled trial.	
Lancel. 2016 Sep 15;592(10151):919-928.							
Allina Health 🕷 MINNEAPOLIS HEART IN	ISTITU	TE					















Resource Utilization: Pre vs. Post-implementation Overall All cTnT ≤URL ≥1 cTnT >URL p < 0.0001 80.0% 80.0% 80.0% ns 60.0% 60.0% 60.0% < 0.0001 p = 0.002 40.0% 40.0% 40.0% < 0.0001 ns 20.0% 20.0% 20.0% p = 0.02 p = 0.02 p = 0.05 ns ns ns Coronery Angiography Echocatilography Coronery Angiography EDDischarge Echocardiography Coronery Angiography Echocardiography EDDischarge ED Discharge 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Stress Test stressTest Except for angiography, overall resource use did not increase. Among those without cTnT increases, there were more ED discharges and fewer cardiac stress tests. Ola & Sandoval (PI) in behalf of the ACTION investigators. JACC 2021; 77: 3160-70. Allina Health * MINNEAPOLIS HEART INSTITUTE



UC Davis: 0/1h ((+/- 3h)) hs-cTnT i	implementation
00 Davis. 0/ 111	·/- JII	/ 113-01111 1	

	Pre- implementation N=1589	Post- implementation N=1616	Adjusted OR
No admission	63%	68%	1.38 (1.18-1.61)
Full admission	28%	24%	0.75 (0.64-0.88)
Cardiology consult or admission	13%	13%	0.91 (0.73-1.12)
NSTEMI	3%	3%	0.95 (0.65-1.40)
UA	2%	2%	0.80 (0.24-2.62)
Cardiac risk stratification	9%	9%	0.95 (0.74-1.22)
Catheterization	10%	10%	1.02 (0.81-1.30



Allina Health ** MINNEAPOLIS HEART INSTITUTE

ealth TT ESTERN TAL Minneapolis Heart Institute Foundation

💓 @yadersandoval





































2021 AHA/ACC guidelines and 2022 ACC Expert Consensus

Allina Health ** MINNEAPOLIS HEART INSTITUTE









Cut off	% (95% Cl)							
point, ng/L	Sensitivity	Specificity	Positive predictive value	Negative predictive value				
Baseline								
5	99.6 (97.6–100.0)	37.9 (35.0–40.9)	25.4 (22.6–28.4)	99.8 (98.7–100.0)				
10	94.4 (90.6–97.0)	70.0 (67.2–72.7)	40.0 (35.9–44.2)	98.3 (97.1–99.1)				
14	92.1 (88.4–95.3)	79.4 (76.3–81.3)	48.4 (43.3–53.3)	98.1 (96.5–98.6)				
20	80.1 (74.3–85.0)	89.0 (87.0–90.8)	60.7 (54.9–66.2)	95.5 (94.0–96.7)				
50	50.2 (43.6–56.8)	97.6 (96.5–98.4)	81.7 (74.3–87.7)	90.2 (88.4–91.9)				
100	29.0 (23.2–35.3)	99.3 (98.6–99.7)	<mark>89.3</mark> (80.0–95.3)	86.8 (84.8-88.7)				

Allina Health * MINNEAPOLIS HEART INSTITUTE

52









MHIF Cardiovascular Grand Rounds | December 5, 2022



57















Validation (n=14 862) Implementation (n=23 060) Reclassified by high-sensitivity cardiac troponin lassay Identified by cardiac troponi assay Primary outcome Validation (n=3396) Implementation (n=3396) Implementation (n=3396) Implementation (n=3396) Implementation (n=3396) Primary outcome 367 (2%) 479 (2%) 105 (15%) 131 (12%) 634 (19%) 870 (17%) Secondary outcomes 56 (8%) 62 (6%) 165 (1%) 198 (1%) 186 (3%) 25 (2%) 147 (4%) 220 (4%) All- Duc cardiovascular causes 1693 (4%) 121 (1%) 187 (18%) 882 (26%) 1137 (22%) All- Duc cardiovascular causes 1693 (4%) 217 (1%) 299 (1%) 54 (8%) 75 (7%) 432 (13%) 616 (12%) Identified by cardiac causes 1273 (3%) 143 (1%) 191 (1%) 32 (4%) 59 (6%) 349 (10%) 454 (9%) Identified by cardiac causes 1273 (3%) 143 (1%) 191 (1%) 32 (4%) 59 (6%) 349 (10%) 456 (9%) Identified by cardiac causes 1273 (3%) 143 (1%) 191 (1%) <td< th=""><th></th><th>All participants (n=48 282)</th><th>No myocard</th><th>ial injury</th><th>Myocardial</th><th>injury</th><th></th><th></th></td<>		All participants (n=48 282)	No myocard	ial injury	Myocardial	injury		
Validation (n=720) Implementation (n=1051) Validation (n=3396) Implementation (n=5193) Primary outcome <t< th=""><th>STEACS R</th><th>СТ</th><th>Validation (n=14862)</th><th>Implementation (n=23060)</th><th>Reclassified high-sensiti troponin l as</th><th>by vity cardiac isay</th><th>ldentified by assay</th><th>cardiac troponin l</th></t<>	STEACS R	СТ	Validation (n=14862)	Implementation (n=23060)	Reclassified high-sensiti troponin l as	by vity cardiac isay	ldentified by assay	cardiac troponin l
Primary outcome Myocardial infarction* or death from cardiovascular causes 2586 (5%) 367 (2%) 479 (2%) 105 (15%) 131 (12%) 634 (19%) 870 (17%) Secondary outcomes					Validation (n=720)	Implementation (n=1051)	Validation (n=3396)	Implementation (n=5193)
Myocardial infarction* or death from cardiovascular causes 2586 (5%) 367 (2%) 479 (2%) 105 (15%) 131 (12%) 634 (19%) 870 (17%) Secondary outcomes Myosardial infarction* 1046 (2%) 163 (1%) 198 (1%) 56 (8%) 62 (6%) 249 (7%) 318 (6%) Unput vascularisation† 672 (1%) 80 (1%) 182 (1%) 18 (3%) 25 (2%) 147 (4%) 220 (4%) All- D 4367 (9%) 824 (6%) 1170 (5%) 167 (23%) 187 (18%) 882 (26%) 1137 (22%) D cardiovascular causes 1693 (4%) 217 (1%) 299 (1%) 54 (8%) 75 (7%) 432 (13%) 616 (12%) Hox cardiovascular causes 1273 (3%) 143 (1%) 191 (1%) 32 (4%) 59 (6%) 349 (10%) 499 (10%) Hox cardiovascular causes 1273 (3%) 143 (1%) 191 (1%) 32 (4%) 59 (6%) 349 (10%) 459 (9%) Ischaem stoke 546 (1%) 171 (1%) 173 (1%) 24 (3%) 17 (2%) 78 (2%) 83 (2%) <td>Primary outcome</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Primary outcome							
Secondary outcomes Myconstitution* 1046 (2%) 163 (1%) 198 (1%) 56 (8%) 62 (6%) 249 (7%) 318 (6%) Unpressoration 672 (1%) 80 (1%) 182 (1%) 18 (3%) 25 (2%) 147 (4%) 220 (4%) All- 4367 (9%) 824 (6%) 1170 (5%) 167 (23%) 187 (18%) 882 (26%) 1137 (22%) D cardiovascular causes 1693 (4%) 217 (1%) 299 (1%) 54 (8%) 75 (7%) 432 (13%) 616 (12%) D cardiovascular causes 1273 (3%) 143 (1%) 191 (1%) 32 (4%) 59 (6%) 349 (10%) 499 (10%) Host cardiovascular causes 1273 (3%) 143 (1%) 191 (1%) 32 (4%) 59 (6%) 349 (10%) 499 (10%) Ischartment stroke 546 (1%) 171 (1%) 173 (1%) 24 (3%) 17 (2%) 78 (2%) 83 (2%) Safety endpoints Major haemorthage! 195 (<1%) 40 (<1%) 55 (<1%) 5 (1%) 11 (1%) 38 (1%) 140 (27%)<	Myocardial infarction* or death from cardiovascular causes	2586 (5%)	367 (2%)	479 (2%)	105 (15%)	131 (12%)	634 (19%)	870 (17%)
Mycesetialiafarction* 1046 (2%) 163 (1%) 198 (1%) 56 (8%) 62 (6%) 249 (7%) 318 (6%) Unp constrained non-accularisation† 672 (1%) 80 (1%) 182 (1%) 18 (3%) 25 (2%) 147 (4%) 220 (4%) All- h 4367 (9%) 824 (6%) 1170 (5%) 167 (23%) 187 (18%) 882 (26%) 1137 (22%) D cardiovascular causes 1693 (4%) 217 (1%) 299 (1%) 54 (8%) 75 (7%) 432 (13%) 616 (12%) mac cardiovascular causes 1273 (3%) 143 (1%) 191 (1%) 32 (4%) 59 (6%) 349 (10%) 499 (10%) Hole constrained stroke 546 (1%) 171 (1%) 173 (1%) 91 (13%) 113 (11%) 371 (11%) 454 (9%) Ischarm stroke 546 (1%) 171 (1%) 173 (1%) 24 (3%) 17 (2%) 78 (2%) 83 (2%) Major haemorthage‡ 195 (<1%)	Secondary outcomes							
Unpressure ascularisation† 672 (1%) 80 (1%) 182 (1%) 18 (3%) 25 (2%) 147 (4%) 220 (4%) All- h 4367 (9%) 824 (6%) 1170 (5%) 167 (23%) 187 (18%) 882 (26%) 1137 (22%) D cardiovascular causes 1693 (4%) 217 (1%) 299 (1%) 54 (8%) 75 (7%) 432 (13%) 616 (12%) mac causes 1273 (3%) 143 (1%) 191 (1%) 32 (4%) 59 (6%) 349 (10%) 499 (10%) Hox ession for heart failure 1700 (4%) 334 (2%) 337 (1%) 91 (13%) 113 (11%) 371 (11%) 454 (9%) Ischarm stroke 546 (1%) 171 (1%) 173 (1%) 24 (3%) 17 (2%) 78 (2%) 83 (2%) Major haemorthage‡ 195 (<1%)	Myocordial infarction*	1046 (2%)	163 (1%)	198 (1%)	56 (8%)	62 (6%)	249 (7%)	318 (6%)
All- h 4367 (9%) 824 (6%) 1170 (5%) 167 (23%) 187 (18%) 882 (26%) 1137 (22%) D cardiovascular causes 1693 (4%) 217 (1%) 299 (1%) 54 (8%) 75 (7%) 432 (13%) 616 (12%) Mac causes 1273 (3%) 143 (1%) 191 (1%) 32 (4%) 59 (6%) 349 (10%) 499 (10%) Hox ession for heart failure 1700 (4%) 334 (2%) 337 (1%) 91 (13%) 113 (11%) 371 (11%) 454 (9%) Ischaen estroke 546 (1%) 171 (1%) 173 (1%) 24 (3%) 17 (2%) 78 (2%) 83 (2%) Safety endpoints 55 (<1%)	Unp /ascularisation†	672 (1%)	80 (1%)	182 (1%)	18 (3%)	25 (2%)	147 (4%)	220 (4%)
D cardiovascular causes 1693 (4%) 217 (1%) 299 (1%) 54 (8%) 75 (7%) 432 (13%) 616 (12%) Mac causes 1273 (3%) 143 (1%) 191 (1%) 32 (4%) 59 (6%) 349 (10%) 499 (10%) Holy ssion for heart failure 1700 (4%) 334 (2%) 337 (1%) 91 (13%) 113 (11%) 371 (11%) 454 (9%) Ischaeme stroke 546 (1%) 171 (1%) 173 (1%) 24 (3%) 17 (2%) 78 (2%) 83 (2%) Safety endpoints	All- h	4367 (9%)	824 (6%)	1170 (5%)	167 (23%)	187 (18%)	882 (26%)	1137 (22%)
Instruction 1273 (3%) 143 (1%) 191 (1%) 32 (4%) 59 (6%) 349 (10%) 499 (10%) Host ssion for heart failure 1700 (4%) 334 (2%) 337 (1%) 91 (13%) 113 (11%) 371 (11%) 454 (9%) Ischaem stroke 546 (1%) 171 (1%) 173 (1%) 24 (3%) 17 (2%) 78 (2%) 83 (2%) Safety endpoints Image: 195 (<1%) 40 (<1%) 55 (<1%) 5 (1%) 11 (1%) 38 (1%) 46 (1%) Unplanned hospital admission at 30 days 8489 (18%) 2450 (17%) 2995 (13%) 208 (29%) 245 (23%) 1100 (35%) 1401 (27%) Non-cardiovascular death 2673 (6%) 607 (4%) 871 (4%) 113 (16%) 111 (11%) 450 (13%) 521 (10%)	D cardiovascular causes	1693 (4%)	217 (1%)	299 (1%)	54 (8%)	75 (7%)	432 (13%)	616 (12%)
Host psicing 1700 (4%) 334 (2%) 337 (1%) 91 (13%) 113 (11%) 371 (11%) 454 (9%) Ischaen Stroke 546 (1%) 171 (1%) 173 (1%) 24 (3%) 17 (2%) 78 (2%) 83 (2%) Safety endpoints This This 195 (<1%) 40 (<1%) 55 (<1%) 5 (1%) 11 (1%) 38 (1%) 46 (1%) Unplanned hospital admission at 30 days 8489 (18%) 2450 (17%) 2995 (13%) 208 (29%) 245 (23%) 1100 (35%) 1401 (27%) Non-cardiovascular death 2673 (6%) 607 (4%) 871 (4%) 113 (16%) 111 (11%) 450 (13%) 521 (10%)	afac causes	1273 (3%)	143 (1%)	191 (1%)	32 (4%)	59 (6%)	349 (10%)	499 (10%)
Ischaem, stroke 546 (1%) 171 (1%) 173 (1%) 24 (3%) 17 (2%) 78 (2%) 83 (2%) Safety endpoints	Hos, ssion for heart failure	1700 (4%)	334 (2%)	337 (1%)	91 (13%)	113 (11%)	371 (11%)	454 (9%)
Safety endpoints Major haemorrhage‡ 195 (<1%) 40 (<1%) 55 (<1%) 5 (1%) 11 (1%) 38 (1%) 46 (1%) Unplanned hospital admission at 30 days\$ 8489 (18%) 2450 (17%) 2995 (13%) 208 (29%) 245 (23%) 1190 (35%) 1401 (27%) Non-cardiovascular death 2673 (6%) 607 (4%) 871 (4%) 113 (16%) 111 (11%) 450 (13%) 521 (10%)	lschaem stroke	546 (1%)	171 (1%)	173 (1%)	24 (3%)	17 (2%)	78 (2%)	83 (2%)
Major haemorrhage‡ 195 (<1%) 40 (<1%) 55 (<1%) 5 (1%) 11 (1%) 38 (1%) 46 (1%) Unplanned hospital admission at 30 days\$ 8489 (18%) 2450 (17%) 2995 (13%) 208 (29%) 245 (23%) 1190 (35%) 1401 (27%) Non-cardiovascular death 2673 (6%) 607 (4%) 871 (4%) 113 (16%) 111 (11%) 450 (13%) 521 (10%)	Safety endpoints							
Unplanned hospital admission at 30 days§ 8489 (18%) 2450 (17%) 2995 (13%) 208 (29%) 245 (23%) 1190 (35%) 1401 (27%) Non-cardiovascular death 2673 (6%) 607 (4%) 871 (4%) 113 (16%) 111 (11%) 450 (13%) 521 (10%)	Major haemorrhage‡	195 (<1%)	40 (<1%)	55 (<1%)	5 (1%)	11 (1%)	38 (1%)	46 (1%)
Non-cardiovascular death 2673 (6%) 607 (4%) 871 (4%) 113 (16%) 111 (11%) 450 (13%) 521 (10%)	Unplanned hospital admission at 30 days§	8489 (18%)	2450 (17%)	2995 (13%)	208 (29%)	245 (23%)	1190 (35%)	1401 (27%)
	Non-cardiovascular death	2673 (6%)	607 (4%)	871 (4%)	113 (16%)	111 (11%)	450 (13%)	521 (10%)
Data are number of patients (%). *Subsequent type 1 or type 4b myocardial infarction. †Defined as urgent or emergency percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass grafting from discharge to 1 year later. ‡Bleeding Academic Research Consortium type 3 or type 5. Stackudes type 1 or type 4b myocardial infarction.	Data are number of patients (%). *Subseq artery bypass grafting from discharge to 1	uent type 1 or type 4b year later. ‡Bleeding) myocardial infa Academic Resear	rction. †Defined as ur ch Consortium type 3	gent or emerge or type 5. §Exc	ency percutaneous co ludes type 1 or type 4	ronary interven b myocardial in	tion or coronary farction.

























49 year-old F with intermittent chest discomfort for a couple of days.

Baseline hs-cTnT <6 ng/L (LoQ) 2nd hs-cTnT also <6 ng/L

Allina Health * MINNEAPOLIS HEART INSTITUTE









Priority:	Early AM STAT ASAP Today Timed Preop Early AM
Frequency:	TOMORROW AM One time Tomorrow AM q8h q6h q4h q2h
	Starting For 11/15/2022 A Tomorrow Occurrences Hours Days Weeks First Occurrence
	Discrete Astronomy Astrono
	First Occurrence: Tomorrow 0600 Final Occurrence: Tomorrow 0600
	<u>11/15</u> 0600
Add-on:	Test has no expiration time
Specimen Source:	Blood 🔎 Blood
Do you attest to the f	Ves No
Comments:	⊕ 🍄 😏 🙋 🕄 🛃 🕂 Insert SmartText 着 😓 🔶 🦂 🛼 100% 👻
	DO NOT ORDER FOR CHEST PAIN PROTOCOLS.
	I attest that troponin IS NOT being ordered to assess for acute coronary syndromes and that this test is being used to

 "When troponin was a lousy assay it was a great test, but now that it's becoming a great assay, it's getting to be a lousy test" – Dr. Robert L. Jesse, MD, PhD; JACC 2010; 55: 2125-8.

 PAST - CONTEMPORARY ASSAYS

 Binary test: negative vs. positive

 Delays in diagnosis due to prolonged sampling

 More samples needed

 More analytical noise; more false positives.

 More uncertainty about 'low-risk' which contributed to additional risk-stratification in ruled-out patients

 No randomized trials

 Limited MI vs. no MI scope

 Overall threshold, MI under-diagnosis in women

 AlinaHeath@ MINNEAPOLIS HEART INSTITUTE

MHIF Cardiovascular Grand Rounds | December 5, 2022

"When troponin was a lousy assay it was a great test, but now that it's becoming a great assay, it's getting to be a lousy test" – Dr. Robert L. Jesse, MD, PhD; JACC 2010; 55: 2125-8.						
PAST – CONTEMPORARY ASSAYS	PRESENT – HIGH-SENSITIVITY ASSAYS					
Binary test: negative vs. positive	Continuous biomarker: barometer of CV health					
Delays in diagnosis due to prolonged sampling	Rapid diagnosis, most within 1-3 hours					
More samples needed	Less samples needed					
More analytical noise; more false positives.	Less analytical noise; less false positives.					
More uncertainty about 'low-risk' which contributed to additional risk-stratification in ruled-out patients	Less uncertainty about 'low-risk' which reduces additional testing in ruled-out patients					
No randomized trials	Multiple RCTs					
Limited MI vs. no MI scope	Multiple applications, including emerging outpatient CV applications					
Overall threshold, MI under-diagnosis in women	Sex-specific thresholds, improved diagnosis in women					
Allina Health MINNEAPOLIS HEART INSTITUTE	FERN VMinneapolis Heart Institute Foundation					

Take-home Points	
 Clinical trials and practice guidelines recommend high-sensitivity ca troponin assays as the preferred test to evaluate patients with susp ACS. 	irdiac ected
 Main advantages: rapid triage and disposition in the emergency department. 	
 Main challenges: increased "positivity" rate – how to deal with acute/chronic myocardial injury and type 2 myocardial infarction. 	
 Key intervention for successful implementation: education, education education. 	on,
5. Clinical context and pre-test probability remain essential.	
 Increasing number of application of hs-cTn testing in the outpatient setting. 	5
AllinaHealth術 MINNEAPOLIS HEART INSTITUTE	82

N	<u>Mark your calendars</u> : MHIF GR on NT-proBN	P with Dr. James Januzzi
कें AllnaHeath	h Minneapolis Heart Institute Foundation CLINICAL EDUCATION	
Minneap	polis Heart Institute Foundation [®] Cardiovascular Grand Rounds	
Title: Speaker: Date: Location: At the complet 1. List the 2. Discuss 3. Recite :	e: NT-proBNP IF: James Januzzi, MD, FACC, FESC Hutter Family Professor of Medicine, Harvard Medical School Cardiologist, Massachusetts General Hospital Trialist, Baim Institute for Clinical Research E: February 13, 2023 E: 7:00 - 8:00 AM E: Minneapolis Heart Institute Building, Suite 100, MHIF Learning Center Webinar - visit www.mplsheart.org/grandrounds for login information letion of this activity, the participants should better be able to: te differential diagnosis of an elevated NT-proBNP. s optimal diagnosis to undiffs for the biomarker. e similarities and differences between BNP and NT-proBNP.	
DISCLOSURE PO Allina Health Le scientific rigor i business is prod ACCME does no of clinical servic members partic	POLICY & STATEMENTS Learning & Development. Office of Accreditation intends to provide balance, independence, objectivity and rin all of its educational activities. The ACCME defines an ineligible company as "any entity" whose primary oducing, marketing, re-selling, or distributing health care productly/services used by or on patients. The not consider provider of clinical area in the interaction of the activity of the activity of the activity and rice is owned, or controlled by, an ACCME-defined ineligible company. All speakers and planning committee tricpating in the CME activity are required to disclose to the audience any financial relationships with WINNEAPOLIS HEART INSTITUTE	83





Contact: yader.sandoval@allina.com

Allina Health * MINNEAPOLIS HEART INSTITUTE





MHIF_Heart